1	CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP	SHIN	IDER CANTOR LERNER LLP
_	JEAN KIM (pro hac vice)		THEW L. CANTOR (pro hac vice)
2	230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10169		enn Plaza, Suite 1900 York, NY 10122
3	(212) 350-2700	Telep	phone: 646-960-8601
4	(212) 350-2701 (fax) jkim@constantinecannon.com	matth	new@scl-llp.com
5	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class	ALV	YER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS AREZ & SMITH LLP
6		D. SC	AN STEYER (100318) COTT MACRAE (104663) Pine Street, Fifteenth Floor
7	THE MEHDI FIRM Azra Z. Mehdi (State Bar No. 220406)	San I	Francisco, CA 94104 421-3400
8	95 Third Street 2 nd Floor, No. 9122	(415)	421-2234 (fax)
	San Francisco, CA 94103		er@steyerlaw.com rae@steyerlaw.com
9	Ph/Fax: (415) 294-0070 azram@themehdifirm.com	DILLOC	
10		FAR	MER BROWNSTEIN JAEGER
11	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class	GOL	DSTEIN KLEIN & SIEGEL LLP
	,		TID C. BROWNSTEIN (141929) TID M. GOLDSTEIN (142334)
12		155 N	Montgomery Street, Suite 301
13			Francisco, CA 94104 795-2050
14	(415) 520-5678 (fax)		
15			wnstein@fbj-law.com lstein@fbj-law.com
16	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class		
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	NORTHER OF CALL OR WA		
20	DJENEBA SIDIBE, JERRY JANKOWSKI, SU HANSEN, DAVID HERMAN, OPTIMUM	SAN	Case No. 3:12-ev-4854-LB
21	GRAPHICS, INC., and JOHNSON POOL & SP Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly	A, on	
22	Situated,		SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF JEAN KIM IN SUPPORT OF
23	Plaintiffs,		CLASS COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR COSTS, FEES AND SERVICE
24	VS.		AWARDS
25	SUTTER HEALTH,		
26	Defendant.		
27			
28			

I, Jean Kim, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at Constantine Cannon LLP, Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice pro hac vice before this Court.
- 3. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and am fully familiar with the proceedings in this case.
- 4. I submit this Supplemental Declaration in support of Class Counsel's Motion for Costs, Fees and Service Awards.

I. **Supplemental Costs**

- Since the filing of Class Counsel's Motion for Costs, Fees and Service Awards (ECF No. 1754) (the "Fees/Cost Motion") as revised by Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval (ECF 1761-2), Plaintiffs and the Class have discovered an unpaid invoice for work performed by Mr. David Axene, Plaintiffs' actuarial expert, in connection with preparations for the second trial in this case. That invoice amounts to \$39,631 and will be paid by Constantine Cannon LLP forthwith.
- 6. Accordingly, we revise the Fees/Costs Motion to request the reimbursement of costs totaling \$28,185,752.

Respectfully submitted,

CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP

Dated: November 6, 2025 /s/ Jean Kim Iean Kim

Lead Class Counsel

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DJENEBA SIDIBE, JERRY JANKOWSKI, SUSAN HANSEN, DAVID HERMAN, OPTIMUM GRAPHICS, INC., and JOHNSON POOL & SPA, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,

Case No. 3:12-cv-4854-LB

Plaintiffs,

VS.

٠5.

SUTTER HEALTH,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL AND CLASS COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of their proposed Class Settlement with Defendant Sutter Health and Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of costs and service awards.

WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement providing for the settlement and dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted in this action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have submitted the proposed Settlement Agreement and materials in support of final approval;

WHEREAS, Class Counsel have submitted materials in support of their request for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation costs and service awards for class representatives;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to Class Members regarding the proposed settlement and the petition for fees and costs;

WHEREAS, a Fairness Hearing was conducted on November 6, 2025; and WHEREAS, the 90-day period provided by the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d), has expired.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing, the Court finds that the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interest of the Class. The Court further finds

Case 3:12-cv-04854-LB Document 1762-1 Filed 11/06/25

Page 2 of 2